In 2000 I noticed a newspaper article casting doubt on someone's claim to be descended from Charlamagne. On the contrary, I don't doubt it at all.

Since Charlamagne lived about 1200 years ago, assuming an average generation of about 30 years, that is about 40 generations ago. If we consider the numbers involved with the nature of generations (see the table below), we may conclude that it is fairly likely that most if not all of us who have European ancestors, can rightfully claim descent from Charlamagne. The problem is identifying accurately which line(s) tie. Once a person establishes a link to royalty, since the royal lines intermarried so much, everybody is related.

Consider this: By generation, we each have a potential of

- 1 2 parents
- 2 4 grandparents
- 3 8 great grandparents
- 4 16 gg grandparents
- 5 32 ggg
- 6 64 gggg
- 7 128 ...
- 8 256
- 9 512
- 10 1024
- 11 2048
- 12 4096
- 13 8192
- 14 16,384
- 17 10,501
- 15 32,768 16 65,536
- 17 131,072
- 18 262,144
- 19 524,288
- 20 1,048,576
- 21 2,097,152
- 22 4,194,304
- 23 8,388608
- 24 16,777,216
- 25 33,554,432
- 26 67,108,864
- 27 134,217,728
- 28 268,435,456
- 29 536,870,912
- 30 1,073,741,824
- 31 2,147,483,648
- 32 4,294,967,296

```
33
       8,589,934,592
34
       17,179,879,184
35
       34,359,738,368
36
       68,719,476,736
37
       137,438,953,472
38
       274,877,906,944
39
       549,755,813,888
       1,099,511,627,770 potential direct-line ancestors in the 40<sup>th</sup> generation
40
```

Since it seems unthinkable that there might have been even close to a trillion people alive on the earth in 800 AD, it is highly likely that at least one of each of our lines goes through Charlamagne. Especially considering he had a whole bunch of children. If each of them had several children, the arithmetic progression coming from him could produce even more staggering numbers of potential direct-line descendents, thus increasing the likelihood of at least one connection.

David Barker ddbarker@comcast.net